Appendix A: Sudbury Town Neighbourhood Forum Consultation. Representations received, officer summary and responses

| Representor | Officer summary | Officer response | Recommendation |
|--|---|---|----------------|
| No name/ identifier 1 | Do not support. The Council ignored the work of the previous forum from 2017-2023 regarding planning decisions, and therefore the designation is pointless. | It is not the case that the Council ignored the previous forum. There have been occasions, such as the refusal of the Pocket Living scheme where the Council has issued a decision broadly consistent with STRA's stated position. The Council put forward a strong case in defending the decision, which ultimately an Inspector agreed with, in dismissing the appeal. It is acknowledged that the Council has also permitted development against the wishes of the Forum. On such occasions there are clear reasons that set out STRA's objections and how they were weighed up in the planning balance to deliver the decision made. | No change |
| Resident 1 | Support the designation. I think it's great to enable local people to have a voice in the development of their area. | Noted. | No change |
| No name/ identifier | Support the designation. We need to have a Forum to help with the Neighbourhood Plan. | Noted. | No change |
| Through the Looking Glass Hairdressers | Support the designation. We need a forum to help us work together to build a mixed community where views regarding development can be heard, especially given the number of high rise blocks and HMOs, and the importance of green space. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 2 | Support the designation. To be kept informed and not to become just part of high rise Wembley. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 3 | Support the designation. It helps the local community voice the things which are important to them. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 4 | Support | Noted. | No change |

| Representor | Officer summary | Officer response | Recommendation |
|-------------|---|---|----------------|
| Resident 5 | Generally supportive, but the constitution notes that you need to sign a form/apply on their website in order to demonstrate eligibility to join, although there does not appear to be a website. Neither can I find any minutes from their AGM. This needs to be addressed if they are to be designated. | Sudbury Town are not yet a designated Neighbourhood Forum. Once they are fully recognised by the Council, they would be expected to operate in accordance with their written constitution. At 7.1 of their constitution it identifies that the Forum will maintain a web site. At 6.2 it identifies that minutes from meetings, including the Annual General Meeting, will be posted on said website within 2 weeks of the meeting taking place. | No change |
| Resident 6 | Support the designation, as I did the previous Forum which unfortunately failed. I support the principle of a forum to help voice local views.I was disappointed that the Barham Park application was granted against Neighbourhood Plan policy which although overwhelmingly voted for by local residents, appears to have been given little weight. Local residents know best when it comes to their area, and hopefully this new forum will bring forward a more beneficial relationship between the residents and the Council. | Noted. The reasons for the decision to approve that application were set out by the Council, which on balance, notwithstanding the objection from local residents/ groups, was considered acceptable. | No change |
| Resident 7 | Support the designation as I think it is good to have a say in local matters. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 8 | Support the designation to preserve the voice of residents in our neighbourhood. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 9 | Support the designation as the Forum is very active and compassionate in dealing with matters raised by local residents. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 10 | Support the designation as it is a "go to" for residents of Sudbury Town when it is difficult to get in touch with the Council, particularly for senior citizens and those less able. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 11 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 12 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 13 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 14 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 15 | Support the designation as the Forum gives local residents a voice to assist in achieving positive change. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 16 | Support | Noted. | No change |

| Representor | Officer summary | Officer response | Recommendation |
|-------------|--|------------------|----------------|
| Resident 17 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 18 | Support the designation as it helps residents improve the local area. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 19 | Support the designation as it provides local residents with a voice for local matters. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 20 | Support the designation as it allows me to have a say in the local community. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 21 | Support the designation as it allows people to have a say in the local community. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 22 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 23 | Support the designation as it allows people to have a say in the local community. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 24 | Support the designation as it helps improve the area. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 25 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 26 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 27 | Support the designation as it helps me become a part of local decision making. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 28 | Support the designation as I want to take part in community discussions regarding things that affect us all. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 29 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 30 | Support the designation as I want to keep in touch with local planning works. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 31 | Support the designation as I am happy to have a say in what happens in the forum area. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 32 | Support the designation as I agree that residents have a say in their local area. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 33 | Support the designation as I would like to have a neighbourhood Forum in my ward. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 34 | Support the designation as it allows local residents to voice their concerns to the Council as a group. This allows dialogue with the Council and Met Police on matters such as planning development, litter, crime, and other issues. | Noted. | No change |

| Representor | Officer summary | Officer response | Recommendation |
|---|--|---|----------------|
| Satguru Travel And Tourism Limited | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Satguru Travel Ltd | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 35 | Support the designation as residents need a voice in our community. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 36 | Support the designation as we need more community involvement in local planning and other issues facing Sudbury. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 37 | Support the designation as I agree that people should have a voice in waht happens in the local area. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 38 | Support the designation as residents need to be involved and consulted about what is planned to happen in the area where they live and work. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 39 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 40 | Support the designation as local people should have a say on the local area. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 41 | Support the designation as the needs of this area, community and residents are not covered by other forums. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 42 | Support the designation to promote and improve the social, economic and environmental wellbeing of the Neighbourhood Area. | Noted. | No change |
| No name/ identifier 2 | Support the designation as we need to stop the high rises, not all areas need them and are suitable for them | Noted. The Forum can seek to take forward policies that might address this matter. Any such policies would have to be justified and consistent with national, London and strategic local policies. | No change |

| Representor | Officer summary | Officer response | Recommendation |
|-------------|---|--|----------------|
| Resident 43 | Support the designation as I don't want to see more buildings knocked down for new multi story flats. There is no space for all these people in this small town. | Noted. The forum area is subject to a housing target set within the Local Plan. This was added at the request of the Planning Inspectors who examined the Local Plan. This target was consistent with the capacity anticipated through site allocations and the London Plan's small site delivery assumptions. As such, the forum will ideally proactively plan to accommodate this target, or if this is not possible, at least not undermine this strategic policy target. | No change |
| Resident 44 | Support the designation as the forum will protect us from brent council over populating the area with too many people and housing. | Noted. The forum area is subject to a housing target set within the Local Plan. This was added at the request of the Planning Inspectors who examined the Local Plan. This target was consistent with the capacity anticipated through site allocations and the London Plan's small site delivery assumptions. As such, the forum will ideally proactively plan to accommodate this target, or if this is not possible, at least not undermine this strategic policy target. | No change |
| Resident 45 | Support the designation as I don't want more to see more blocks of flats without parking. The parking problem is already too bad to have more people coming to the area with cars but without parking spaces. Just clean it up and maintain the area. | Noted. Parking standards are set out in strategic policies in the London Plan and Local Plan. These, consistent with national policy are set out as maximums to be provided on site. The standards seek to balance provision according to the development's circumstances and in particular its access to public transport. It should not be assumed that where alternatives are available to reduce reliance on the private car that the Council will nevertheless require maximum on-site standards to be achieved. | No change |
| Resident 46 | Support the designation as we need a forum to help stop Brent over developing and over populating the area. | Noted. The forum area is subject to a housing target set within the Local Plan. This was added at the request of the Planning Inspectors who examined the Local Plan. This target was consistent with the capacity anticipated through site allocations and the London Plan's small site delivery assumptions. As such, the forum will ideally proactively plan to accommodate this target, or if this is not possible, at least not undermine this strategic policy target. | No change |

| Representor | Officer summary | Officer response | Recommendation |
|---|--|--|----------------|
| Resident 47 | Support the designation as it allows residents to voice their opinions on matters which affect the area, as it will affect their everyday lives. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 48 | Support the designation as there is currently no formal organisation representing residents on the local authority managed estate on which I live. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 49 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 50 | Support the designation as it is good to have citizens involvement in planning. | Noted. | No change |
| Barham Village Residents Association | Support the designation as the Forum allows local residents to take part in decisions which affect them, and ensure outcomes meet local needs. The local demographic is always changing and the forum enables them to participate. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 51 | Support the designation as It provides a stronger voice to the local residents. | Noted. | No change |
| No name/ identifier 3 | Do not support as there is already an existing Neighbourhood Forum for the area. | Noted. The previous Neighbourhood Forum in the area, STRA, has since lapsed. The most recent application for forum status by STRA was refused by the Council. The Council has to determine this application based on the information submitted and its performance against the statutory tests. | No change |
| No name/ identifier 4 | Do not support as there is already an existing Neighbourhood Forum for the area. | Noted. The previous Neighbourhood Forum in the area, STRA, has since lapsed. The most recent application for forum status by STRA was refused by the Council. The Council has to determine this application based on the information submitted and its performance against the statutory tests. | No change |
| Resident 52 | Do not support. | Noted. | No change |
| V S MOTORS | Support | Noted. | No change |
| V S MOTORS | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 53 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 54 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 55 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 56 | Support | Noted. | No change |

| Representor | Officer summary | Officer response | Recommendation |
|--------------------|--|------------------|----------------|
| Resident 57 | Support the designation as it will allow the community to have a voice in how their neighbourhood should be and develop for the present and the future. | Noted. | No change |
| Cllr. Teo Benea | Support the designation. Its great to see such a diverse community come together to engage in planning matters and work constructively with the Council to improve the social, economic, and environmental wellbeing of the area. It is important for local residents to have a voice and work with the Council on priorities for how their area will be shaped. Green spaces such as Barham Park are very important for the community, and it is important that they have a say on how they are shaped. The engagement strategy was very effective in reaching all parts of the community. Their constitution is also well put together outlining how the organisation will operate and the clear roles of the elected members. As a local Councillor i have worked with many of the residents involved and have seen their commitment to making Sudbury a nice place for all residents. I look forward to working with them closely. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 59 | Support the designation if it means Sudbury will improve as a collective forum. | Noted. | No change |

| Representor | Officer summary | Officer response | Recommendation |
|-------------|---|---|----------------|
| Resident 61 | Support the designation as it will allow residents to have a say in the future of the area, providing direct contact with the Council who will be obligated to discuss planning and development with us. The community will therefore have a wider say in local issues such as housing, local shops, open spaces, transport, and sustainable growth. It is important for residents to have a voice to protect the local suburban character and heritage of the area. This will allow outcomes to be mutually beneficial for the Council and the area's diverse community. | Noted. A revised Neighbourhood Plan could if suitably evidenced contain policies that relate to the existing character of the area and how this should be considered in planning decisions. It should be noted however that in the national and London context the need for additional homes remains large, Sudbury is well connected and has a very limited number of designations that elevate its built form to have a high degree of protection. Much is likely to remain in strategic policy an area with a higher priority for additional homes. | No change |
| Resident 62 | Support the designation as it will help residents have more say over what development takes place in the area, as we are very concerned over the scale of development and how it impacts local infrastructure. | Noted. It should be noted however that in the national and London context the need for additional homes remains large, Sudbury is well connected and has a very limited number of designations that elevate its built form to have a high degree of protection. Much is likely to remain in strategic policy an area with a higher priority for additional homes. | No change |
| Resident 63 | Support the designation as Sudbury needs an active campaign group to keep an eye on developments. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 64 | Support the designation I'm a concerned citizen. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 65 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 66 | It is important for local resident groups to have a voice in the planning decisions for the area they live in. | Noted. It was unclear if this comment was entered in support of the application and no e-mail address was left to be able to clarify. As the yes/ no option was filled in no, it is assumed it was an argument as to why the forum shouldn't be approved. | No change |
| Resident 67 | Support the designation as we need to protect our green spaces and make sure the council does not build towers on them | Noted. The Council has never indicated that it will be acceptable to build towers on green spaces in Sudbury. | No change |
| Resident 68 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 69 | Support the designation as the Forum allows people have a say in local matters. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 70 | Support the designation. | Noted. | No change |

| Representor | Officer summary | Officer response | Recommendation |
|---|---|---|----------------|
| No name/ identifier 5 | Support the designation as it provides a platform for local views regarding planning and the environment to be heard. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 71 | Support the designation to enable local control of the area. As the Council have issues with STRA, we should try the new Forum. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 72 | Support the designation as it's important for local residents to have a say in what's happening in their area. We need to ensure it stays a safe place to live and go out and about. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 73 | Support the designation. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 74 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 75 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 76 | Support the designation. It is vital that green spaces are preserved. It is important that the Council consults with local people about projects and budget. | Noted. | No change |
| Sudbury Town Residents' Association | Support. The Sudbury Town Residents' Association plays a crucial role in fostering community engagement and should be granted the next 5 years forum status to effectively advocate for the protection and preservation of Sudbury's invaluable green spaces. | Noted. Clarity has been sought from respondent on whether they still support the forum application as it is not STRA's application. No response received to date. | No change |
| Resident 41 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 78 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Sudbury Town Residential Association | Support the designation. I am interested because I live in area and would like to protect green land. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 79 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 41 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 81 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 82 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 83 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 84 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 85 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 86 | Support the designation as I believe local people make better decisions about their local areas than others. | Noted. | No change |

| Representor | Officer summary | Officer response | Recommendation |
|--------------------|---|---|----------------|
| Resident 87 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 87 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 89 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 90 | Support the designation as I want to safe green space in Sudbury Town | Noted. | No change |
| Sudbury Matters | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Sudbury matters | Support the designation as we need an organisation to represent sudbury town residents. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 91 | Support the designation as a measure to support local democracy. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 92 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 93 | Support the designation as they have worked very well together for the support. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 94 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 95 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 96 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 97 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 98 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Tui UK | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 100 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 101 | Support the designation as we have a neighbourhood plan which allows residents to have a say in local matters | Noted. The Neighbourhood Plan remains whether or not there is a forum, nevertheless a forum is likely to advocate for the local community on planning matters and how the community interprets the plans policies. | No change |
| Resident 102 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 103 | Support the designation as I would like to know what is going on in my area and have a say along with other residents and businesses. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 104 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 105 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 106 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 107 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 108 | Support the designation as Sudbury needs a neighbourhood forum. | Noted. Support welcome. | No change |

| Representor | Officer summary | Officer response | Recommendation |
|----------------------|---|------------------|----------------|
| Resident 109 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 110 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 111 | Support the designation as community involvement is the way forward. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 112 | Support the designation because as someone with a family I am interested in having a voice and say in planning in the area. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 114 | Support the designation because as a family living in the area, I want more of a say in planning matters. | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 115 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 116 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Resident 117 | Support | Noted. | No change |
| Historic England | No comment | Noted. | No change |
| National Highways | No comment | Noted. | No change |
| Natural England | No comment | Noted. | No change |
| Transport for London | No comment | Noted. | No change |